

RECEIVED
MAY 20 2002
Technology Center 2600CONTINUED PROSECUTION APPLICATION (CPA)
REQUEST TRANSMITTAL

Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processing.

(Only for Continuation or Divisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d))

CHECK BOX, if applicable:

☐ DUPLICATE

Address to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box CPA
Washington, DC 20231Attorney Docket No.
of Prior Application

First Named Inventor

DAVID D. MURESAN

Examiner Name

RICHARD HJERPE

Group / Art Unit

2774

Express Mail Label No.

This is a request for a ☒ continuation or ☐ divisional application under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d),
(continued prosecution application (CPA)) of prior application number 08, 653, 425,
filed on 5-24-96, entitled "Computer Mous"

NOTES

FILING QUALIFICATIONS: The prior application identified above must be a nonprovisional application that is either: (1) complete as defined by 37 C.F.R. § 1.51(b), or (2) the national stage of an international application in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371.

A Notice will be placed on a patent issuing from a CPA, except for reissues and designs, to the effect that the patent issued on a CPA and is subject to the twenty-year patent term provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 154(a)(2). Therefore, the prior application of a CPA may have been filed before, on or after June 8, 1995.

C-I-P NOT PERMITTED: A continuation-in-part application cannot be filed as a CPA under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d), but must be filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b).

EXPRESS ABANDONMENT OF PRIOR APPLICATION: The filing of this CPA is a request to expressly abandon the prior application as of the filing date of the request for a CPA. 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) must be used to file a continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part of an application that is not to be abandoned.

ACCESS TO PRIOR APPLICATION: The filing of this CPA will be construed to include a waiver of confidentiality by the applicant under 35 U.S.C. 122 to the extent that any member of the public who is entitled under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.14 to access to, copies of, or information concerning, the prior application may be given similar access to, copies of, or similar information concerning, the other application or applications in the file jacket.

35 U.S.C. 120 STATEMENT: In a CPA, no reference to the prior application is needed in the first sentence of the specification and none should be submitted. If a sentence referencing the prior application is submitted, it will not be entered. A request for a CPA is the specific reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and to every application assigned the application number identified in such request, 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a).

1. ☐ Enter the unentered amendment previously filed on _____
under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 in the prior nonprovisional application.
2. ☐ A preliminary amendment is enclosed.
3. This application is filed by fewer than all the inventors named in the prior application, 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 (d)(4)
 - a. ☐ **DELETE** the following inventor(s) named in the prior nonprovisional application:
.....
 - b. ☐ The inventor(s) to be deleted are set forth on a separate sheet attached hereto.
4. ☐ A new power of attorney or authorization of agent (PTO/SB/81) is enclosed.
5. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) is enclosed: 05/22/2002 AWONDAF1 00000097 08653425
 - a. ☐ PTO-1449 01 FC:231 370.00 OP
 - b. ☐ Copies of IDS Citations

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

CLAIMS	(1) FOR	(2) NUMBER FILED	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) RATE	(5) CALCULATIONS
TOTAL CLAIMS (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(c) or (j))		-20* =		x \$ _____ =	\$ _____
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b) or (i))		-3** =		x \$ _____ =	\$ _____
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS (if applicable) (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(d))				+ \$ _____ =	\$ _____
				BASIC FEE (37 C.F.R. § 1.16)	
				Total of above Calculations =	
Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (Note 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28).					
* Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent. ** Reissue independent claims over original patent.				TOTAL =	

RECEIVED

MAY 28 2002

Technology Center 2600

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

6. Small entity status:

- a. ☐ A small entity statement is enclosed, if (b) and (c) do not apply.
- b. ☒ A small entity statement was filed in the prior nonprovisional application and such status is still proper and desired.
- c. ☐ Is no longer claimed.

7. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit overpayments or charge the following fees to Deposit Account No. _____:

- a. ☐ Fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16.
- b. ☐ Fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17.
- c. ☐ Fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.18.

8. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 370 is enclosed.9. ☐ New Attorney Docket Number, if desired _____

(Prior application Attorney Docket Number will carryover to this CPA unless a new Attorney Docket Number has been provided herein.)

10 a. ☐ Receipt For Facsimile Transmitted CPA (PTO/SB/29A)b. ☐ Return Receipt Postcard (Should be specifically itemized, See MPEP 503)11. ☐ Other: _____**NOTE:**The prior application's correspondence address will carry over to this CPA
UNLESS a new correspondence address is provided below.

12. NEW CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Labelor ☐ New correspondence address below

(Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here)

Name

Address

City

State

Zip Code

Country

Telephone

Fax

13. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED

Name (Print IType)

DAVID D. MURESAN

Signature



Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)

Date

5-8-2002



RECEIVED

MAY 28 2002

Technology Center 2600

COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED

To: Parent Office
Continue prosecution application.

From: David Muresan. Address: 18204 30th Ave NE Seattle WA 98155

Ph (206) 367-0818

Ref: Application # 08/653,425, filing date 5-24-1996 "**Computer Mouse**".

Today: is 5-8-2002

1. As a result of Federal Court of Appeal denial, based of the fact that I used the word "comprising", I am applying for Continue Prosecution.


I mention that my specification was not detailed enough, but I did not have any chance to correct it. The examiner was not willing to communicate with me.

2. The authors of this invention are David Darian Muresan and David Muresan.
We annex \$ 370 check #4094 (37CFR 1,16) .

"Certificate of mailing"

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United State Postal Services as Certified Mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231

On 5-8-2002

Signature 

Inventors: David D Muresan & David Muresan

MAY 28 2002

Technology Center 2600

**COPY OF PAPERS
ORIGINALLY FILED**

CERTIFIED MAIL



7001, 2510 0000 1925 3776

DMMD

Maria, David Muresan
18204 30th Ave NE
Seattle, WA 98155



RECEIVED

MAY 20 2002

USPTO MAIL CENTER

To: Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

